Your Logo Here

# 2019 Survey Executive Summary

[Presentation Date]

Talmetrix

### **Report Overview**

Survey Methodology

Overall Summary

Manager Differences

14

TALMETRIX

BY

ш

2

6

Summary

23

26

Appendix

# **Survey Methodology**



### Survey Framework

Category	# of Questions
Civility	4
Engagement	9
Feedback & Collaboration	10
Innovation	2
Effectiveness	8
Empowerment	5

#### Information

Our manager effectiveness survey is directly tied to our overall organizational survey. It measures the most critical aspects of leader performance and effectiveness. 3

#### METHODOLOGY

SUMMARY

### **Glossary of Terms**

- Distribution of Favorability: Shows the general proportion of favorable responses ("strongly agree" and "agree"), neutral, and unfavorable responses ("disagree" and "strongly disagree").
- Average: A number expressing the central or typical value in a set of data. It is calculated by dividing the sum of the values in the set by their number.
- Response Scales: All structured response questions use a 5-point Likert scale.
- Rounding: The sum of % favorable, neutral, and unfavorable scores may add up to 99 or 101.

SUMMARY

### **Survey Administration**



All **[type of]** employees hired prior to **[date]**.



Employees accessed the survey [survey admin dates] via [access methods]. Anonymity threshold: [threshold]. No groups with fewer than [#] respondents are reported. 5

**METHODOLOGY** 

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

## **Overall Summary**



### Key Takeaways

- X is a key opportunity area for the majority of leaders.
- Recommend to focus in the bottom 25% of leaders for coaching.
- Consistently lower scores in X group.
- Over 25% of leaders were above an average score of 4.
- Strong scores in overall leader Engagement.

### **Survey Participation**

### **OVERALL PARTICIPATION: 50%**

Group	# Respondents	% of Respondents	# in Population	% of Total Population	Response Rate (Δ from [Year])
Division A	25	50%	50	25%	50%
Division B	25	50%	50	25%	50%
Division C	25	50%	50	25%	50%
Division D	25	50%	50	25%	50%
Total	100	-	200	-	50%

8

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

### **Summary of Findings**

OVERALL FAVORABILITY 60% Overall Average:

3.1

MOST FAVORABLE CATEGORY Innovation

63% Favorable

MOST NEUTRAL CATEGORY Empowerment 35% Neutral

#### MOST UNFAVORABLE CATEGORY

Effectiveness 32% Unfavorable 9

#### METHODOLOGY

#### **OVERALL SUMMARY**

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

#### **SUMMARY**

### **Overall Category Results**



METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

APPENDIX

### **Overall Distribution**



3.1 Average1.25 Standard Deviation

Your distribution will inform where the bulk of your leaders are, and how skewed, or normal, the distribution is. 11

#### METHODOLOGY

#### **OVERALL SUMMARY**

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

#### SUMMARY

### **Engagement & Performance**



Understanding how Manager Effectiveness is connected to current performance ratings will help you understand if leadership perception is accurately predicting performance. 12

#### METHODOLOGY

#### **OVERALL SUMMARY**

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

### **Most & Least Favorable Items**

	Category	Question	% Fav	% Neu	% Unfav
Щ	Innovation	France reconstitute	90%	5%	5%
FAVORABLE	Engagement		88%	8%	4%
AVC	Engagement		87%	9%	4%
MOST	Engagement		85%	10%	5%
ž	Feedback & Collaboration		80%	15%	5%
Щ	Effectiveness		25%	30%	45%
RAB	Effectiveness		30%	45%	25%
FAVORABLE	Effectiveness		40%	30%	30%
AST	Empowerment		45%	35%	20%
ĽE	Empowerment		50%	40%	10%

\*see appendix for all question-level distributions

13

#### METHODOLOGY

#### OVERALL SUMMARY

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

# Manager Differences

### **Key Group Differences by Division**

	Civility	Engagement	Feedback & Collaboration	Innovation	Effectiveness	Empowerment
Overall Company	60%	62%	61%	62%	58%	60%
By Group:						
Division A	67%	62%	67%	85%	73%	78%
Division B	62%	59%	61%	62%	37%	40%
Division C	56%	60%	56%	40%	56%	68%
Division D	61%	61%	66%	68%	59%	62%

#### DIFFERENCE FROM OVERALL COMPANY % FAV:

10% or more above
5% to 9% above
2% to 4% above
-2% to -4% below
-5% to -9% below
-10% or less below
Within 1% of overall

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

APPENDIX

### **Overall Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders Overall

Bottom 10% Leaders Overall

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.
Denise Jordan	83%	4.2	Ivan Brewer	39%	1.8
Roland Sherman	82%	4.2	Rogelio Warner	41%	2.0
Rebecca Graves	78%	4.2	Elsie Ross	43%	2.2
Tracy Mendoza	78%	3.8	Elena Cohen	44%	2.2
Miriam Sharp	78%	3.8	Noah Torres	46%	2.3
May Ruiz	76%	3.8	Chris Mills	48%	2.3
Jessie Love	75%	3.7	Darla Franklin	48%	2.3
Norma Potter	75%	3.7	Alberta Black	49%	2.3
Lucas Long	75%	3.7	Megan Hill	49%	2.5
Phillip Gordon	74%	3.7	Leah Henderson	49%	2.5

# CREATED BY TALMET'RIX

METHODOLOGY

OVERALL SUMMARY

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

### **Civility Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders

**Bottom 10% Leaders** 

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.
Gene Gonzales	100%	5	Billy Wallace	21%	1
Peter Dean	97%	4.9	Wallace Fleming	22%	1.2 🎽
Raymond Terry	97%	4.8	Irving Graham	24%	1.2 X212 1.3 X212 1.3
Elsa Higgins	96%	4.7	Doreen Wilson	25%	1.4
Kelly Lewis	96%	4.7	Willis Stewart	27%	1.6
Lucas Long	95%	4.6	Daisy Turner	29%	
Willie Swanson	94%	4.5	Dominick Gardner	30%	2
Rebecca Graves	94%	4.5	Darla Franklin	31%	2.1
Norma Potter	92%	4.3	Mae Harmon	31%	2.1
Lena Gregory	90%	4.3	Celia Wells	31%	2.1

#### In Top 10% Overall List

In Bottom 10% Overall List

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

APPENDIX

### **Engagement Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders

**Bottom 10% Leaders** 

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.
Wilma Rodgers	100%	5	Gladys Schultz	20%	1
Noel Powers	99%	4.9	Lee Price	21%	1.2
Ross Dennis	99%	4.9	Donald Walsh	21%	1.2 X2 1.2 W14 1.3 H
Wallace Fleming	98%	4.8	Marlene Sandoval	22%	110
Deanna George	98%	4.8	Darla Franklin	23%	1.4
Tracy Mendoza	97%	4.7	Benny Brooks	23%	1.4
Bernadette Mccarthy	95%	4.5	Megan Hill	24%	1.5
Fannie Powell	95%	4.5	Naomi Weber	24%	1.5
Miriam Sharp	95%	4.5	Margie Boone	25%	1.7
Norma Potter	95%	4.5	Stacey Yates	26%	1.8

#### In Top 10% Overall List

In Bottom 10% Overall List

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

APPENDIX

### **Feedback & Collaboration Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders

Bottom 10% Leaders

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	
Fernando Gonzalez	100%	5	Doris Gibson	20%	1	
Wilma Rodgers	100%	5	Kathleen Poole	20%	1	
Kelly Lewis	99%	4.9	Elsie Ross	22%	1.2	
Ashley Norris	98%	4.8	Leah Henderson	23%	1.3	Ī
Ora Harvey	98%	4.8	Gene Gonzales	23%	1.3	
Willis Stewart	96%	4.7	Hazel Bowen	24%	1.4	CREATE
Tracy Tucker	96%	4.7	Lucia Roberson	24%	1.4	3
Sonia Rogers	94%	4.5	Ivan Brewer	25%	1.5	
Billy Wallace	92%	4.3	Kyle Jackson	25%	1.5	
Stacey Yates	91%	4.2	Willie Swanson	27%	1.8	

In Top 10% Overall List

In Bottom 10% Overall List

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

APPENDIX

### **Innovation Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders

**Bottom 10% Leaders** 

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.
Marlene Sandoval	99%	5	Alfonso Hanson	20%	1
Denise Jordan	99%	5	Chris Mills	20%	1
Hazel Bowen	98%	4.9	Rogelio Warner	22%	1.2
Wallace Fleming	98%	4.9	Gladys Schultz	22%	1.2
Frederick Taylor	98%	4.9	Marta Parker	23%	1.3
Fernando Gonzalez	98%	4.9	Elsa Higgins	23%	1.3 1.4
Doreen Wilson	97%	4.7	Martha Barrett	25%	1.4
Miriam Sharp	96%	4.5	Kathleen Poole	26%	1.5
Casey Wagner	96%	4.5	Gene Gonzales	27%	1.6
Barbara Lane	94%	4.2	Billy Wallace	27%	1.7

In Top 10% Overall List

In Bottom 10% Overall List

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

APPENDIX

### **Effectiveness Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders

**Bottom 10% Leaders** 

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.
Phillip Gordon	100%	5	Chris Mills	35%	1
Kim Huff	100%	5	Fernando Gonzalez	35%	1
Gladys Schultz	97%	4.9	Alberta Black	36%	1.2
Kathleen Poole	97%	4.9	Everett Guerrero	36%	1.2
Roland Sherman	97%	4.9	Emanuel Miles	37%	1.3
Lucia Roberson	95%	4.7	Nathaniel Nash	37%	1.3 1.4
Damon Copeland	95%	4.7	Kelly Lewis	38%	1.4 Ö
Dominick Gardner	93%	4.5	Larry Ray	39%	1.5
Fannie Powell	93%	4.5	Neil Steele	41%	1.6
Nathan Young	93%	4.5	Megan Hill	41%	1.6

#### In Top 10% Overall List

In Bottom 10% Overall List

21

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

### **Empowerment Leadership Differences**

#### Top 10% Leaders

**Bottom 10% Leaders** 

Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.	Leader Name	Overall Fav Score	Overall Avg.
Naomi Weber	100%	5	Bernadette Mccarthy	20%	1
Roland Sherman	99%	4.9	Noah Torres	20%	1
Ross Dennis	96%	4.6	Earl Bates	20%	1
Rebecca Graves	96%	4.6	Elena Cohen	20%	1
Yvette Ortega	95%	4.5	Doris Gibson	21%	1.3
Norma Potter	94%	4.4	Miriam Sharp	22%	1.4
Phillip Gordon	94%	4.4	Ashley Norris	24%	1.6
Lena Gregory	93%	4.3	Donald Walsh	24%	1.6
Lee Price	93%	4.3	Emanuel Miles	24%	1.6
Lucas Long	93%	4.3	Larry Ray	28%	1.8

#### In Top 10% Overall List

In Bottom 10% Overall List

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

# CREATED BY TALMETRIX

23

## Summary





24

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

### Next Steps / etc.



• 5

25



**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

#### SUMMARY

# Appendix





### **Survey Participation Detail**

Group	# Respondents	% of Respondents	# in Population	% of Total Population	Response Rate (Δ from [Year])
Group 1	#	#	#	%	% (%)
Group 2	#	#	#	%	% (%)

27

METHODOLOGY

#### **OVERALL SUMMARY**

#### MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

### **Item-Level Results by Category**

Item	% Fav	% Neu	% Unfav
Civility	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
Engagement			
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%

METHODOLOGY

OVERALL SUMMARY

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

APPENDIX

### **Item-Level Results by Category**

Item	% Fav	% Neu	% Unfav
Feedback & Collaboration	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
Innovation			
	%	%	%
	%	%	%

29

#### METHODOLOGY

OVERALL SUMMARY

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

### **Item-Level Results by Category**

ltem	% Fav	% Neu	% Unfav
Effectiveness	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
Empowerment			
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%
	%	%	%

METHODOLOGY

OVERALL SUMMARY

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

SUMMARY

APPENDIX



METHODOLOGY

OVERALL SUMMARY

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

**APPENDIX** 



CREATED BY TALMETRIX

32

METHODOLOGY

OVERALL SUMMARY

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 



33

18%

23%

20%

19%

19%

27%

25%

7%

19%

29%

#### METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

**APPENDIX** 

16%

51-60



71-80



METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 





CREATED BY TALMETRIX

METHODOLOGY

**OVERALL SUMMARY** 

MANAGER DIFFERENCES

**SUMMARY** 

**APPENDIX**